# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT
Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): June 13, 2005

INTEGRA LIFESCIENCES HOLDINGS CORPORATION (Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 0-26224 51-0317849 (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (Commission File Number) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

311 Enterprise Drive Plainsboro, NJ 08536 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (609) 275-0500

Not Applicable (Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

[ ] Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act

•	-	(17 CFR 230.425)
]	]	Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
]	]	Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule $14d-2(b)$ under the Exchange Act (17 CFR $240.14d-2(b)$ )
[	]	Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule $13e-4(c)$ under the Exchange Act (17 CFR $240.13e-4(c)$ )

#### ITEM 8.01. OTHER EVENTS.

On June 13, 2005, the United States Supreme Court vacated the June 2003 judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, which had affirmed the judgment of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California in the case of Integra LifeSciences I Ltd. and The Burnham Institute v. Merck KGaA.

The Supreme Court held that the Court of Appeals applied an erroneous interpretation of 35 U.S.C. ss.271(e)(1) when it rejected the challenge of Merck KGaA to the jury's finding that Merck KGaA failed to show that its activities were exempt from claims of patent infringement under that statute. On remand, the Federal Circuit will review the evidence under a reasonableness test that does not provide categorical exclusions of certain types of activities. As a result, the trial court's judgment ordering Merck KgaA to pay Integra \$6.4 million in damages could be overturned.

The Company has not recorded any gain in connection with this matter, pending final resolution and completion of the appeals process.

A copy of the press release issued by the Company discussing the ruling is attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Current Report on Form 8-K.

(c) Exhibits.

Exhibit Number Description of Exhibit

99.1 Press release issued June 13, 2005

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized.

### INTEGRA LIFESCIENCES HOLDINGS CORPORATION

Date: June 17, 2005 By: /s/ Stuart M. Essig

-----

Stuart M. Essig

President and Chief Executive Officer

## Exhibit Index

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit	
99.1	Press release issued Press release issued June 13, 20	05

News Release

#### Contact:

Integra LifeSciences Holdings Corporation

John B. Henneman, III Executive Vice President Chief Administrative Officer (609) 936-2481 jhenneman@Integra-LS.com Maria Platsis Senior Director of Corporate Development and Investor Relations (609) 936-2333 mplatsis@Integra-LS.com

United States Supreme Court Vacates Circuit Court Decision in Integra LifeSciences -- Merck KGaA Litigation

Reasonableness Test Offered by Integra as Controlling Standard Remains Intact

PLAINSBORO, N.J., June 13, 2005 - Integra LifeSciences Holdings Corporation (Nasdaq: IART) today announced that the United States Supreme Court vacated the June 2003 decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, which had affirmed the finding of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California in the case of Integra LifeSciences I Ltd. and The Burnham Institute v. Merck KGaA.

The United States Supreme Court today held that the Court of Appeals applied an erroneous interpretation of 35 U.S.C. ss.271(e)(1) when it rejected the challenge of Merck KGaA to the jury's finding that Merck KGaA failed to show that its activities were exempt from claims of patent infringement under that statute. On remand, the Federal Circuit will review the evidence under the standard which Integra LifeSciences proposed at trial and which has been its consistent position on the proper interpretation of ss.271(e)(1) throughout this litigation.

The Supreme Court held, consistent with Integra's position throughout the litigation, that pre-clinical experiments are not categorically excluded from the scope of the exemption. The Supreme Court also agreed with Integra, and with the Federal Circuit, that the exemption does not globally embrace all experimental activity that at some point may lead to an FDA approval process. The Supreme Court's opinion today emphasizes that the scope of the Section 271(e)(1) exemption is determined under a reasonableness test. Integra's position that Merck's activities are not exempt under the reasonableness test, which it urged as the controlling standard throughout the litigation, remains intact.

The underlying lawsuit, which was filed in 1996, alleged that Merck KGaA and a non-profit research institution under contract from Merck KGaA had infringed various United States patents held by The Burnham Institute of San Diego that have been licensed to Integra.

Those patents include No. 4,792,525, No. 4,879,237, No. 5,695,997, and No. 4,789,734, each in various ways relating to technology involving peptides containing an arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) sequence or related cell surface receptors.

Compounds containing the RGD sequence promote or inhibit cell adhesion by binding receptors called integrins found on the surface of almost every cell in the body.

"We look forward to a successful resolution of the case on remand before the Federal Circuit," said John B. Henneman, III, Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer. "In any case, neither these patents nor this decision implicates any product that we sell, and the outcome - whether favorable or unfavorable - will not have any impact on our operations."

Integra LifeSciences Holdings Corporation is a diversified medical technology company that develops, manufactures, and markets medical devices for use in a variety of applications. The primary applications for our products are neuro-trauma and neurosurgery, reconstructive surgery and general surgery. Integra is a leader in applying the principles of biotechnology to medical devices that improve patients' quality of life. Our corporate headquarters are in Plainsboro, New Jersey, and we have research, manufacturing and distribution facilities located throughout the world. We have approximately 1,200 employees. Please visit our website at (http://www.Integra-LS.com).

This news release contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from predicted or expected results. Forward-looking statements

that may be discussed include, but are not limited to, the results of litigation and related appeals and the value of intellectual property. In addition, the economic, competitive, governmental, technological and other factors identified under the heading "Factors That May Affect Our Future Performance" included in the Business section of Integra's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 and information contained in subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission could affect actual results.

Source: Integra LifeSciences Holdings Corporation